

# Documentation of the Psychiatric Safety Assessment -How to CYA in Psychiatric Emergencies-

2023 OAAPN Conference

Friday, October 27, 2:15p-3:15p

# Dr. R. Lee Tyson

DNP, DMin, APRN-CNP, PMHNP-BC, ANP-BC, CARN-AP, CNE, CTMH, FAAN, FAANP, FIAAN



# Presenter Disclosure Statement:

Nothing to Disclose  
&  
No Conflicts

## Learning Objectives:

- Identify the foremost reasons NPs managing psychiatric emergencies face subsequent litigation.
- Discuss evolving evidence-based strategies of documenting psychiatric safety assessments to maximize the NP's self-protection, their institution's safeguard, and the comprehensive value of the risk assessment.
- Demonstrate an initial aptitude in effectually documenting psychiatric safety assessments that are rooted in current literature to enhance patient safety and mitigate preventable litigation.

Today, we will cover what the literature suggests is an evidence-based documentation of...

## The Psychiatric Safety Assessment

# Legal Examination

In 2018 a large Cincinnati-based law firm specializing in medical malpractice informally examined around 1,800 reported [adverse incidents & claims](#) involving [nurse practitioners](#) across the nation.

- The average indemnity for those closed claims was **\$221,852**

# Legal Examination

- 63% of the claims involved **primary care**
- 17% in **community-based** OP clinics
- 11% in **skilled nursing** facilities
- 9% in NP **private practice** settings

# Legal Examination

- **6%** of the claims involved **Behavioral Health** (PMHNP's & average indemnity payment: \$203,365)
- Of the 6% which involved behavioral health...
  - **24% related to PMHNP's** who were faulted for inappropriately releasing persons from their charge & which persons ultimately suicided or attempted suicide or harmed another as a consequence.
    - closely followed by 22% related to irregular psychopharmacological prescribing practices



# Legal Examination

Therefore, the leading cause of malpractice suits against PMHNP's involves poor documentation in cases whereby patients are deemed safe to be released during a psychiatric emergency, but critical injury or death occurred upon release.

Practical: CYA

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

How to effectively document a psychiatric safety assessment when there exists some level of risk...

**BUT...**

you choose **NOT** to admit the patient.

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

*This is about...*

**Maximizing** your self-protection, your institution's protection & the comprehensive value of your risk assessment by doing the following...

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## 1) ALWAYS ACKNOWLEDGE THE RISK & ASSOCIATED RISK FACTORS

### Example:

“This patient does have risk factors elevating their risk of harm to self (or others).”

These include???

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## Risk Factors

- Active thoughts of death or ideation
- Past attempts
- Substance Use Disorder
- Mood Disorder
- Anxiety Disorder
- Psychosis
- Static Factors: *Gender, Age, Marital Status, Sexual Orientation, Traumatic history*

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

**2) MANAGE THE RISK PRESENTED BY PAST ATTEMPTS BY COMMENTING ON THE **RISK to RESCUE RATIO****

*For example???*

# Risk to Rescue Ratio

Every past attempt has a certain amount of **RISK** associated with it...

- Gunshot/Hanging/Jumping—**HIGH**
- Overdose on 5 tabs of **BENADRYL—LOW.**

# Risk to Rescue Ratio

- Every past attempt also has a certain capacity for “**RESCUE**”

## Examples:

- Attempt done in presence of another or immediately called 911—**HIGH RESCUE**
- Isolated self w/o intent to be found—**LOW RESCUE**



# Risk to Rescue Ratio

**Low Risk to Rescue Ratio** implies...

- That the overall severity or risk of lethality was low probability especially in relation to other protective or “rescue” factors.
- This qualifier will help to manage the risk associated with past attempts.

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## 3) COMMENT ON PROTECTIVE FACTORS

*For example???*

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## Protective Factors

- Patient repeatedly **denies intent or motivation** to act
- Patient has no **access to lethal weapons**
- Patient is **help-seeking & motivated** for treatment
- **Stable Support Person** expresses understanding of risks & feels they have appropriate services to manage the situation & follow through with plan.
- Other Factors: **Religion, Family**, etc...

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## 4) CONTRACTING FOR SAFETY AS A TOOL & NOT A CONTRACT ITSELF

*What's this mean???*

# Contracting as an Assessment Tool

Safety contracts are rifled with criticism for their actual benefit, but remain a standard of care.

- (The literature suggests that verbal contracts & safety contracts are **not efficacious**)

**OUR JOB:** Balance the situation by performing contracts as a chance to **assess for ambivalence.**

- *Example: "Patient was asked to contract for safety in order to assess for ambivalence. Patient contracted for safety w/o any signs of ambivalence."*

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## 5) COMMENT ON THE MOST IMMINENT OF RISK FACTORS

### Examples:

- The patient does not assess to be **highly agitated** or in an **acutely anxious, psychotic state**.
- The patient does not assess to be in **EXISTENTIAL CRISIS**.

# Documentation of Psychiatric Safety Assessment

## **6) COMMENT ON WHAT YOU CAN RESPONSIBLY SAY**

Example:

- Given the above assessment, the patient **does not currently assess to be an imminent risk** to self or others.

# Putting It All Together...

- Acknowledge the risk & associated risk factors
- Manage the risk presented by past attempts by commenting on the risk to rescue ratio
- Comment on the protective factors
- Contracting for safety as an assessment tool & not a contract itself
- Comment on the most imminent of risk factors
- Comment on what you can responsibly say



# Exemplar

“This patient does have risk factors for suicide. These include chronic risk factors such as his male gender, age > 65 and widowed status. He also reports struggling with alcohol use and has active depressive symptoms. He does have past attempts. However, they can be best described as low risk to rescue ratio, as there were only two attempts that included taking Benadryl or partial bottles of home meds, and they were always done in the presence of others, and he himself immediately called 911. In addition, although the patient endorses passive ideation, he adamantly denies intent or motivation. He denies access to guns and was able to contact our office upon having this most recent worsening of symptoms. The patient was asked to contract for safety to assess for ambivalence. Patient contracted for safety without any signs of ambivalence. The patient does not assess to be in existential crisis. Given the above, the patient does not assess to be an imminent risk to self or others at this time.”

# References

- Barzilay, Yaseen, Hawes. Gorman, Altman, Foster, Apter, Rosenfield, Galynker. Emotional responses to suicidal patients: factor structure, construct, and predictive validity of the therapist response questionnaire-suicide form. *Front. Psychiatry*, 9 (2018), p. 104
- Berman AL, Silverman MM (2014) Suicide risk assessment and risk formulation part II: Suicide risk formulation and the determination of levels of risk. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* 44: 432-443. doi: 10.1111/sltb.12067. pmid:24286521
- Bolton JM, Gunnell D, Turecki G. Suicide risk assessment and intervention in people with mental illness *BMJ*, 351 (2015), p. h4978
- Boudreaux ED, Horowitz LM (2014) Suicide risk screening and assessment: designing instruments with dissemination in mind. *Am J Prev Med* 47: S163-169. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2014.06.005. pmid:25145734
- Chapman CL, Mullin K, Ryan CJ, Kuffel A, Niessen O, et al. (2015) Meta-analysis of the association between suicidal ideation and later suicide among patients with either a schizophrenia spectrum psychosis or a mood disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 131: 162-173. doi: 10.1111/acps.12359. pmid:25358861
- Chu C, Klein KM, Buchman-Schmitt JM, Hom MA, Hagan CR, et al. (2015) Routinized Assessment of Suicide Risk in Clinical Practice: An Empirically Informed Update. *J Clin Psychol* 71:1186-2000. doi: 10.1002/jclp.22210. pmid:26287362
- Galynker. *The Suicidal Crisis: Clinical Guide to the Assessment of Imminent Suicide Risk*. Oxford University Press (2017).
- Haney EM, O'Neil ME, Carson S, Low A, Peterson K, et al. (2022). *Suicide Risk Factors and Risk Assessment*

# References

- Hawes M, Yaseen Z, Briggs J, Galynker I. The modular assessment of risk for imminent suicide (MARIS): a proof of concept for a multi-informant tool for evaluation of short-term suicide risk. *Compr Psychiatry*, 72 (2017), pp. 88-96
- Hawton K, Casanas ICC, Haw C, Saunders K (2013) Risk factors for suicide in individuals with depression: a systematic review. *J Affect Disord* 147: 17-28. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2013.01.004. pmid:23411024
- Hawton K, Lascelles K, Pitman A, Gilbert S, Silverman M. Assessment of suicide risk in mental health practice: shifting from prediction to therapeutic assessment, formulation, and risk management. *Lancet Psychiatry* (2022)
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2012) Self-harm; longer term management The British Psychological Society & The Royal College of Psychiatrists,
- Ribeiro JD, Franklin JC, Fox KR, Bentley KH, Kleiman EM, et al. (2015) Self-injurious thoughts and behaviors as risk factors for future suicide ideation, attempts, and death: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol Med*: 1-12. doi: 10.1017/s0033291715001804
- Runeson B, Haglund A, Lichtenstein P, Tidemalm D (2015) Suicide risk after nonfatal self-harm: a national cohort study, 2000-2008. *J Clin Psychiatry*. doi: 10.4088/jcp.14m09453
- ***\*\*\* Regarding the referenced Cincinnati law firm, formal permission was not given to this presenter to reveal their identity, citing “legal barriers.” However, the data was freely assessable to this presenter given his relationship with one of the lead attorneys. Consequently, such data (though considered reliable by this expert) must be, for our purposes, deemed anecdotal in its level of scientific qualify.***

# Questions?